DIGITAL TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENT AND THE PRODUCTIVITY PARADOX: LESSONS FOR THE VIETNAMESE BANKING INDUSTRY

[1]**Pham Phat Tien**, [2]**Tran Ba Tri**,[3]**Nguyen Ngoc Duc**, [4]**Nguyen Thi Be Ba**

[1,2 3]School of Economics, Can Tho University, Canto, Vietnam
[4]School of Social Sciences and Humanities, Can Tho University, Canto, Vietnam

Author's email:
[1]pptien@ctu.edu.vn;[2]tbtri@ctu.edu.vn
[3]nnduc@ctu.edu.vn;[4]ntbba@ctu.edu.vn

**Corresponding author:pptien@ctu.edu.vn*

Abstract.*Recent years have witnessed increased digital technology investment by banks aiming to enhance competition and performance. However, the productivity paradox has tempered the impact of digital transformation. This study explores the factors contributing to this paradox and provides insights for the Vietnamese banking industry. By aligning digital strategy with business goals, emphasizing data-driven decision-making, developing a digitally skilled workforce, prioritizing customer experience, fostering open innovation, measuring performance, managing cybersecurity risks, and cultivating a digital culture, Vietnamese banks can mitigate the productivity paradox and maximize the benefits of digital transformation.*

Keywords: *Bank; Digital Technology Investment; Productivity Paradox; Vietnam*

Acknowledgement: This study is funded by the Can Tho University, Code: T2024-102

1. Introduction

The advent of the digital age has ushered in an era of unprecedented technological advancement, transforming industries and economies worldwide (Guo et al., 2023; Sun & Guo, 2022). Financial services, in particular, have undergone a profound metamorphosis, with banks at the epicenter of this digital revolution (Kriebel & Debener, 2020; Zuo et al., 2021). Massive investments in digital technologies, from artificial intelligence and blockchain to cloud computing and cybersecurity, have become the norm for financial institutions seeking to enhance efficiency, improve customer experience, and gain a competitive edge (Hung & Luo, 2016; Kou et al., 2021).

However, a perplexing phenomenon known as the “productivity paradox” has cast a shadow over this digital transformation. Despite substantial investments in technology, many organizations, including banks, have struggled to translate these expenditures into commensurate increases in productivity (Cho & Chen, 2021; Ekata, 2012). This paradox has ignited intense debate among academics, industry experts, and policymakers, prompting a critical examination of the factors impeding the realization of the full potential of digital technologies (Li & Guo, 2022; Sun & Guo, 2022).

Vietnam’s banking industry is at a pivotal juncture in its digital journey. The country has witnessed rapid economic growth and financial inclusion in recent years, driven in part by technological advancements (T. L. V. Le & Pham, 2022; A. T. Nguyen, 2023). The banking sector has responded to these developments by embracing digital innovation, with a growing number of banks launching mobile banking apps, online platforms, and digital payment solutions (T. T. Le et al., 2021; T. P. Pham et al., 2023). Yet, the Vietnamese banking industry is not immune to the productivity paradox. While digital transformation efforts are underway, challenges such as legacy systems, data quality, and talent scarcity hinder the full exploitation of digital opportunities.

This article delves into the complexities of digital technology investment and the productivity paradox within the context of the Vietnamese banking industry. It aims to shed light on the underlying factors contributing to this phenomenon, explore the potential consequences for the industry, and offer insights into strategies for overcoming these challenges. By examining the experiences of Vietnamese banks and drawing on global best practices, this research seeks to provide valuable recommendations for maximizing the returns on digital investments and unlocking the true potential of technology in driving productivity and growth.

The subsequent sections of this article will provide a comprehensive overview of digital technology investment trends in the global banking industry, a detailed analysis of the productivity paradox, and a deep dive into the specific challenges and opportunities faced by Vietnamese banks. Ultimately, the article will conclude by proposing a framework for digital transformation that can help Vietnamese banks navigate the complexities of the digital landscape and achieve sustainable productivity gains.

1. The Productivity Paradox in Banking: A Literature Review

"The Solow Productivity Paradox," a term coined by Erik Brynjolfsson in 1993, encapsulates the puzzling discrepancy between significant information technology (IT) investments and their seemingly modest impact on overall productivity (Stratopoulos & Dehning, 2000; Triplett, 1999). This paradox, rooted in Robert Solow's 1987 observation that "You can see the computer age everywhere but in the productivity statistics," has been a subject of ongoing debate in various industries, including banking.

This literature review delves into the existing research on the productivity paradox within the banking sector. Several studies have explored the relationship between information technology investment and productivity. For instance, (Chowdhury, 2003; Hamid et al., 2018; Harris, 2001) found a positive but diminishing correlation, suggesting that while IT can initially boost productivity, its marginal returns may decrease over time.

Moreover, (Arora & Arora, 2013; Beccalli, 2007; Gunawan & Serlyna, 2018) investigated the impact of IT on bank performance. Their findings revealed that while IT can enhance efficiency, factors like organizational culture and management practices can offset these gains. This underscores the importance of complementary elements in maximizing the benefits of IT investments.

2. Factors contributing to the productivity paradox

Several factors contribute to this perplexing paradox. One explanation lies in the challenges of accurately measuring productivity (Fox, 2012; Hajli et al., 2015). While tangible outputs like manufacturing goods are relatively easy to quantify, the impact of IT on services and knowledge-based industries is far more complex. For instance, the value of improved customer service or enhanced decision-making through data analytics is difficult to capture in traditional productivity metrics (Fox, 2012).

Another contributing factor is the time lag between technology investment and productivity gains (Brynjolfsson & Hitt, 1998; Hajli et al., 2015). It often takes years for organizations to fully integrate and leverage new technologies. Organizational learning, employee training, and process reengineering are essential but time-consuming steps. Additionally, the benefits of IT may initially accrue to specific departments or individuals before being disseminated throughout the organization, delaying overall productivity improvements.

Mismanagement of IT investments can also exacerbate the productivity paradox (Stratopoulos & Dehning, 2000). Without a clear strategic vision and effective implementation, technology can become a costly burden rather than a productivity enhancer (Keramati et al., 2012). Poorly designed systems, inadequate training, and resistance to change can hinder the realization of potential benefits. Furthermore, the focus on short-term gains and cost-cutting measures may divert resources away from long-term IT initiatives, impeding productivity growth.

While these explanations provide valuable insights, it is essential to recognize that the productivity paradox is a complex issue with no single definitive answer. The relationship between technology and productivity is influenced by a multitude of factors, including industry characteristics, organizational culture, and macroeconomic conditions (Demertzis & Claeys, 2021; Grant & Yeo, 2022; Li & Guo, 2022; Sun & Guo, 2022; Zhang et al., 2021).

Understanding the productivity paradox is crucial for both policymakers and businesses. By identifying the root causes of this phenomenon, policymakers can develop effective strategies to promote technology adoption and productivity growth. For businesses, recognizing the challenges associated with IT investments can help them make informed decisions about technology adoption and implementation (Lin & Shao, 2006). Ultimately, overcoming the productivity paradox requires a holistic approach that considers the interplay between technology, human capital, organizational capabilities, and broader economic factors (Hajli et al., 2015; Li & Guo, 2022; Sun & Guo, 2022).

By delving deeper into the productivity paradox, researchers and practitioners can unlock the full potential of technology to drive economic growth and improve living standards.

3. Digital transformation of commercial banks in Vietnam

In recent years, Vietnam’s commercial banking sector has undergone a significant transformation, driven primarily by the rapid adoption of digital technology (Ngo & Nguyen, 2022; T. P. Pham et al., 2024). This shift has been fueled by several factors, including the growing digital literacy of the population, the increasing demand for convenient and accessible financial services, and the government's push for digitalization (T. T. Le et al., 2021; Morgan & Trinh, 2020; Ngo & Nguyen, 2022; P. T. Pham et al., 2023).

One of the most notable trends has been the proliferation of mobile banking applications. These apps offer a wide range of features, from checking account balances and transferring funds to making payments and applying for loans (T. T. Le et al., 2021). Vietnamese banks have invested heavily in developing user-friendly mobile apps that cater to the needs of their customers.

Another area where digital technology has had a significant impact is in the realm of payments (T. T. Le et al., 2021; T. P. Pham et al., 2023). Contactless payments, such as those made using QR codes or mobile wallets, have become increasingly popular in Vietnam. This has led to a surge in the adoption of digital payment solutions by banks and merchants alike.

Furthermore, banks have been leveraging data analytics and artificial intelligence (AI) to improve their operations and customer service (Ha & Nguyen, 2022; Loan, 2024). By analyzing customer data, banks can identify trends and patterns, personalize their offerings, and detect potential fraud. AI-powered chatbots and virtual assistants are also being deployed to provide 24/7 customer support and answer queries.

To facilitate the digital transformation of the banking sector, the Vietnamese government has implemented various initiatives (Q. T. T. Nguyen et al., 2023; Vu & Vu, 2021). These include the development of a national payment ecosystem, the promotion of digital financial inclusion, and the establishment of regulatory frameworks to ensure the security and privacy of customer data.

While the digital transformation of Vietnam’s commercial banks has yielded significant benefits, there are also challenges to be addressed. One such challenge is the need to invest in cybersecurity measures to protect against cyber threats (Ha & Nguyen, 2022; H. Le, 2024). As banks increasingly rely on digital technology, they become more vulnerable to attacks.

Another challenge is the digital divide, which refers to the gap between those who have access to digital technology and those who do not. To ensure that everyone benefits from the digital economy, it is essential to bridge this divide (Tien, 2023; Vu & Vu, 2021).

In conclusion, the digital transformation of Vietnam's commercial banking sector has been a major development in recent years. By embracing digital technology, banks have been able to improve their efficiency, enhance customer experience, and drive financial inclusion. However, there are still challenges to be overcome, and continued investment in digital innovation will be crucial for the future growth of the sector.

4. Lessons for the Vietnamese Banking Industry

Vietnamese banks have embarked on a significant digital transformation journey, investing heavily in technology to enhance efficiency, customer experience, and competitiveness. However, the global phenomenon of the productivity paradox raises concerns about the potential returns on these investments. To maximize the benefits of digital transformation and avoid falling into the productivity trap, Vietnamese banks must carefully consider the following lessons:

1. Align Digital Strategy with Business Objectives: A clear and well-defined digital strategy is essential. Banks must align their technology investments with broader business goals, ensuring that digital initiatives directly contribute to revenue growth, cost reduction, and risk mitigation. A holistic approach that considers the entire value chain is crucial for realizing the full potential of digital transformation.
2. Focus on Data-Driven Decision Making: Data is the lifeblood of digital transformation. Vietnamese banks must harness the power of data analytics to gain valuable insights into customer behavior, operational efficiency, and market trends. By leveraging data-driven decision making, banks can optimize their digital investments, identify areas for improvement, and measure the impact of their initiatives.
3. Build a Digitally Savvy Workforce: A skilled workforce is essential for successful digital transformation. Vietnamese banks should invest in employee training and development programs to equip their staff with the necessary digital competencies. This includes fostering a culture of innovation, experimentation, and continuous learning.
4. Prioritize Customer Experience: Digital technology offers unprecedented opportunities to enhance customer experience. Vietnamese banks should focus on creating seamless and personalized customer journeys across all channels. By leveraging digital tools to understand customer needs and preferences, banks can deliver exceptional service and build long-term customer loyalty.
5. Embrace Open Innovation: Collaborating with fintech startups and other external partners can accelerate digital transformation. Vietnamese banks should explore open innovation initiatives to access new technologies, business models, and talent. By fostering a culture of collaboration, banks can stay ahead of the competition and drive innovation.
6. Measure and Evaluate Performance: To assess the impact of digital investments, Vietnamese banks must establish robust performance metrics. Key performance indicators (KPIs) should focus on both financial and non-financial outcomes, such as customer satisfaction, operational efficiency, and risk reduction. Regular monitoring and evaluation are essential for identifying areas of success and areas for improvement.
7. Manage Cybersecurity Risks: As digital transformation progresses, the risk of cyberattacks increases. Vietnamese banks must prioritize cybersecurity to protect sensitive customer data and maintain trust. Investing in robust security measures, such as advanced threat detection and response systems, is crucial for mitigating risks.

8. Cultivate a Digital Culture: A digital culture is characterized by agility, experimentation, and a willingness to embrace change. Vietnamese banks should foster a culture that encourages innovation, empowers employees, and promotes a customer-centric mindset. By creating a conducive environment for digital transformation, banks can unlock the full potential of their technology investments.

By addressing these challenges and embracing the opportunities presented by digital technology, Vietnamese banks can overcome the productivity paradox and achieve sustainable growth. It is essential to view digital transformation as a long-term journey rather than a one-time project. Continuous adaptation and learning are key to staying competitive in the rapidly evolving digital landscape.

Conclusion

This study has explored the contributing factors of the productivity paradox in the banking industry, specifically within the Vietnamese context. By reviewing existing literature and combining these findings with the current state of technology investment in Vietnamese banks, eight lessons were proposed for bank managers and policymakers.

While the study provides valuable insights, it also has certain limitations. Firstly, the reliance on Google Scholar for literature retrieval may have limited the scope of the research. Incorporating additional sources, such as annual reports and specific reports on technology investment, could provide a more comprehensive understanding of the factors contributing to the productivity paradox. Secondly, the proposed lessons are based on the authors' interpretations of the existing literature and may not be entirely accurate. Future research should employ quantitative methods to validate the significance of these lessons for stakeholders.

Overall, this study offers a valuable contribution to understanding the banking industry's productivity paradox. The proposed lessons can guide Vietnamese banks in their efforts to effectively harness disruptive technologies. However, further research is needed to address this study's limitations and provide a more comprehensive and accurate understanding of the factors influencing the productivity paradox in the banking sector.

References

Arora, H., & Arora, P. (2013). Effect of investments in information technology on bank performance: empirical evidence from Indian public sector banks. *International Journal of Business Information Systems*, *13*(4), 400–417. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBIS.2013.055298

Beccalli, E. (2007). Does IT investment improve bank performance? Evidence from Europe. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, *31*(7), 2205–2230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2006.10.022

Brynjolfsson, E., & Hitt, L. M. (1998). Beyond the productivity paradox. *Communications of the ACM*, *41*(8), 49–55. https://doi.org/10.1145/280324.280332

Cho, T. Y., & Chen, Y. S. (2021). The impact of financial technology on China's banking industry: An application of the metafrontier cost Malmquist productivity index. *North American Journal of Economics and Finance*, *57*, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.najef.2021.101414

Chowdhury, A. (2003). Information technology and productivity payoff in the banking industry: evidence from the emerging markets. *Journal of International Development*, *15*(6), 693–708. https://doi.org/10.1002/jid.1027

Demertzis, M., & Claeys, G. (2021). The productivity paradox: policy lessons from MICROPROD. In *Policy Contribution* (Issue 1/21). https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/822390.

Ekata, G. E. (2012). The IT Productivity Paradox: Evidence from the Nigerian Banking Industry. *The Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, *51*(1), 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1681-4835.2012.tb00361.x
Fox, K. J. (2012). Problems with (dis)aggregating productivity, and another productivity paradox. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, *37*(3), 249–259. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11123-011-0250-2
Grant, D., & Yeo, B. (2022). Resource-based view of the Productivity Paradox. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/09537325.2022.2042509
Gunawan, H., & Serlyna, S. (2018). Impact of information technology investment to financial performance on banking sector. *Journal of Applied Managerial Accounting*, *2*(1), 41–46. https://doi.org/10.30871/jama.v2i1.700
Guo, X., Li, M., Wang, Y., & Mardani, A. (2023). Does digital transformation improve the firm's performance? From the perspective of digitalization paradox and managerial myopia. *Journal of Business Research*, *163*, 113868. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.113868
Ha, M. S., & Nguyen, T. L. (2022). Digital transformation in banking: a case from Vietnam. In *Smart Cities in Asia: Regulations, Problems, and Development* (pp. 103–114). Springer Nature Singapore. https://library.oapen.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.12657/57004/978-981-19-1701-1.pdf?sequence=1#page=106
Hajli, M., Sims, J. M., & Ibragimov, V. (2015). Information technology (IT) productivity paradox in the 21st century. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, *64*(4), 457–478. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-12-2012-0129
Hamid, A., Mehmood, B., & Ahmad, N. (2018). Profitability Paradox: Evidence from Commercial Banks in Pakistan. *Sukkur IBA Journal of Management and Business, Sukkur IBA University*, *5*(2), 77–91. http://journal.iba-suk.edu.pk:8089/SIBAJournals/index.php/sijmb/article/view/115/142
Harris, L. (2001). The IT productivity paradox-evidence from the UK retail banking industry. *New Technology, Work and Employment*, *16*(1), 35–48. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-005X.00075
Hung, J.-L., & Luo, B. (2016). FinTech in Taiwan: a case study of a Bank's strategic planning for an investment in a FinTech company. *Financial Innovation*, *2*(1), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-016-0037-6
Keramati, A., Azadeh, M. A., Gohar, M. M., & Mofrad, M. A. (2012). Investigating the effects of information technology investment on bank performance: considering the role of environmental dynamism and strategy. *International Journal of Applied Decision Sciences*, *5*(1), 32. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJADS.2012.044945
Kou, G., Olgu Akdeniz, Ö., Dinçer, H., & Yüksel, S. (2021). Fintech investments in European banks: a hybrid IT2 fuzzy multidimensional decision-making approach. *Financial Innovation*, *7*(1), 7–39. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-021-00256-y
Kriebel, J., & Debener, J. (2020). Measuring the effect of digitalization efforts on bank performance. *Academy of Management Proceedings*, 1–24. https://doi.org/10.5465/AMBPP.2020.22004abstract
Le, H. (2024). *Digital Transformation in the Banking Sector: Efficiency on Economic Development in Vietnam*. https://papers.iafor.org/wp-content/uploads/papers/acss2024/ACSS2024_80221.pdf
Le, T. L. V., & Pham, D. K. (2022). The ICT Impact on Bank Performance: The Case of Vietnam. In *Advances in Computational Intelligence and Communication Technology* (pp. 165–174). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-9756-2_16
Le, T. T., Mai, H. N., Phan, D. T., Nguyen, M. N. T., & Le, H. D. (2021). Fintech Innovations: The Impact of Mobile Banking Apps on Bank Performance in Vietnam. *International Journal of Research and Review*, *8*(4), 391–401. https://doi.org/10.52403/ijrr.20210446
Li, M., & Guo, X. (2022). Research on the Productivity Paradox of Information Technology. *Proceedings of Business and Economic Studies*, *5*(2), 19–27. https://doi.org/10.26689/pbes.v5i2.3821
Lin, W. T., & Shao, B. B. M. (2006). The business value of information technology and inputs substitution: The productivity paradox revisited. *Decision Support Systems*, *42*(2), 493–507. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2005.10.011

Loan, T. T. D. (2024). Industry 4.0 and its Impact on the Development of Vietnamese Commercial Banks. *Review of Business and Economics Studies*, *11*(4), 45–60. https://doi.org/10.26794/2308-944X-2023-11-4-45-60

Morgan, P. J., & Trinh, L. Q. (2020). FinTech and Financial Literacy in Vietnam. In *ADBI Working Paper Series*. https://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/publication/616781/adbi-wp1154.pdf

Ngo, H. T., & Nguyen, L. T. H. (2022). Consumer adoption intention toward FinTech services in a bank-based financial system in Vietnam. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRC-08-2021-0061

Nguyen, A. T. (2023). Digital technology of the banking sector in Vietnam. *International Journal of Research in Finance and Management*, *6*(2), 239–243. https://doi.org/10.33545/26175754.2023.v6.i2c.272

Nguyen, Q. T. T., Ho, L. T. H., & Nguyen, D. T. (2023). Digitalization and bank profitability: evidence from an emerging country. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, *41*(7), 1847–1871. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-03-2023-0156

Pham, P. T., Nguyen, N. D., Huynh, H. T., Le, T., & Nguyen, X. T. (2023). The effect of bank fintech on bank efficiency: An empirical study from Vietnam. *Journal of Southwest Jiaotong University*, *58*(4), 224–229. https://doi.org/10.35741/issn.0258-2724.58.4.36

Pham, T. P., Huynh, H. T., Popesko, B., Hoang, S. D., & Tran, T. B. (2024). Impact of Fintech's Development on Bank Performance: An Empirical Study from Vietnam. *Gadjah Mada International Journal of Business*, *26*(1), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.22146/gamaijb.71040

Pham, T. P., Popesko, B., Hoang, S. D., & Tran, T. B. (2023). Impact of the Mobile Banking Application Ratings on the Vietnamese Bank Service Income. *Comparative Economic Research*, *26*(1), 171–186. https://doi.org/10.18778/1508-2008.26.09

Stratopoulos, T., & Dehning, B. (2000). Does successful investment in information technology solve the productivity paradox? *Information & Management*, *38*(2), 103–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7206(00)00058-6

Sun, S., & Guo, L. (2022). Digital transformation, green innovation and the Solow productivity paradox. *PLOS ONE*, *17*(7), e0270928. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0270928

Tien, N. D. (2023). The Development of Digital Banking: A Case Study of Vietnam. In *Smart Analytics, Artificial Intelligence and Sustainable Performance Management in a Global Digitalised Economy* (pp. 103–128). Emerald Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/S1569-37592023000110A006

Triplett, J. E. (1999). The Solow Productivity Paradox: What do Computers do to Productivity? *The Canadian Journal of Economics / Revue Canadienne d'Economique*, *32*(2), 309–334. https://doi.org/10.2307/136425

Vu, G. C., & Vu, C. T. (2021). Digital government: a Vietnamese perspective. *International Journal of Public Law and Policy*, *7*(3), 249–272. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJPLAP.2021.118330

Zhang, H., Wei, Y., & Ma, S. (2021). Overcoming the "Solow paradox": Tariff reduction and productivity growth of Chinese ICT firms. *Journal of Asian Economics*, *74*, 101316. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asieco.2021.101316

Zuo, L., Strauss, J., & Zuo, L. (2021). The digitalization transformation of commercial banks and its impact on sustainable efficiency improvements through investment in science and technology. *Sustainability*, *13*(19), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.3390/su131911028